# Reducing Satellite Interference to Radio Telescopes Using Beacons

# Cuneyd Ozturk, Dongning Guo, Randall Berry, Michael Honig, Frank Lind

### **BACKGROUND**

- Radio telescopes (RT) are about 150 dB more sensitive than a GSM phone.
- Strong Radio Frequency Interference (RFI) ⇒ saturation or non-linear regime  $\Rightarrow$  corrupted data.

## **FIXED QUIET ZONES**



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

#### **PROBLEM**



Beacon Transmitter

#### **NUMERICAL RESULTS**

The satellite does not point to the Ground Quiet Zone.

The radii V and L are taken as design parameters.

> This work has been supported in part through SpectrumX, the National Science Foundation (NSF) Spectrum Innovation Center, funded via Award AST 21-32700 and operated under Cooperative Agreement with NSF by the University of Notre Dame.



 $T:$  measurement time of the radio telescope.

- How can we enable more efficient use of time, frequency and space for both science and broadband access?
	- 1. Fixed Quiet Zones
	- 2. Dynamic Quiet Zones
	-

3. Beacon Approach • The satellite link is active if and only if The satellite does not lie in the Sky Quiet Zone,

#### **SYSTEM MODEL**



$$
\bullet I_i = \frac{p_i w_t(\theta_i) w_r(\phi_i)}{FSL(d_i)} \xi_i
$$

- 1.  $p_i \rightarrow$  satellite transmit power
- 2.  $w_t(\cdot) \rightarrow$  satellite antenna pattern (3GPP) TR 38.811)
- 3.  $w_r(\cdot) \rightarrow RT$  antenna pattern (ITU-RA 1631)
- 4.  $\theta_i$ ,  $\phi_i \rightarrow$  off-axis angles.
- 5.  $d_i \rightarrow$  distance from the i-th satellite to the RT.
- 6.  $\xi_i \rightarrow$  uncertainty in the interference level.
- 7.  $FSL(\cdot) \rightarrow free$ -space loss.

$$
RFI = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{sat}} I_i
$$

• Outage Probability:

 $P_{\text{out}} = Pr\{RFI \geq RFI_{\text{max}}\}$ 

where  $RFI_{\max}$  is the maximum allowable RFI.

#### **DYNAMIC QUIET ZONES**



• Each satellite can autonomously determine the region on the ground where they cannot point

to.

• These regions can change across satellites. • This method requires significantly more computation than the Fixed Quiet Zones.

• Neither the fixed nor dynamic quiet zones methods capture short-term channel

#### • **Design Considerations:**

- variations.
- Beacon approach can exploit the instantaneous channel reciprocity.
- The beacon power profile may be spread over time, frequency and space.
- -
	- **2. Ultra-wideband signaling:** The radio telescope may be needed to turned off during the beacon transmission periods (the large peak-to-average power of the beacon).
- 



**1. Beacon duty cycle:** The beacons should be transmitted frequently enough to capture the time variations.

#### **3. In-band versus out-of-band signaling:**

**4. Spatial design:** Another degree of freedom is how to spread the beacon power across space, i.e., the beacon antenna pattern. **5. Placement of the beacon transmitters:**  Beacon transmitters can be located either at or nearby the radio telescope.





• The network consists of 1000 satellites where their locations are generated according to the

- Binomial Point Process.
- $\xi_i \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{dB}^2\right)$ .
- 
- beacon signal.





• Deactivation of the satellite links in the quiet zone approach depend only on their locations. • For the beacon approach, on average  $T_b/T_p$ fraction of the satellites are listening for the

